

----- Forwarded message -----

From: >

Date: Tue, 6 Jul 2021 at 09:27

Subject: Objection to NF/66073

To: >

To whom it may concern

I would like to express my concerns and objections to the proposed road works scheme on High street and Bellows Road.

I understand that something needs to be done to tackle the air pollution levels in Parkgate and the surrounding Rawmarsh Hill, but I believe that there are better ways of achieving these goals and more. At best there could be a by-pass built off the A6123 Magham Road near Scrooby lane on to Back Lane to meet back up with the B6089 Stubbin road by-passing Parkgate and Nether Haugh. As there a lot of HGV use the A633 to pass through to Manvers and the majority has to come of the A6123 due to the hight restrictions caused by the low bridge at retail world and Aldwarke Lane.

Regarding the works proposed upon High street at Rawmarsh I believe that moving a 50% share of buses onto Barbers Avenue is ok but there is no need to install traffic lights on Bellows road /High street, this could all be achieved by better lane/junction markings. As the traffic lights would create more carbon fumes by when the traffic lights demand backing traffic up onto Rawmarsh Hill and more vehicles having to perform hill starts which all vehicles have to accelerate grater to achieve there for more carbon fumes, as this will now happen to give way for vehicles turning left out of Bellows Road down High Street towards Rotherham not just to let a bus out like a bus gate as currently traffic can perform this mauver without affecting any traffic flow.

It would be of interest to find out the cycle timings of the lights to bus timings and how many cycles there would be making traffic stop (causing extra pollution) to how may cycles there would be that allows a bus to pull off Bellows road to re-join High street.

I am all for the subway to be filled in as it attracts undesirable people using it for drugs, using it as a public toilet and children vandalising it all the time. A staggered pedestrian crossing to be in its place that would allow/create further gaps in the traffic allowing vehicles to merge off of Bellows Road easier.

Please cross reference numbered bullet points below to attached PDF of the CAD drawing.

1. The stop line

I believe that this is going to create no end of accidents for people entering their properties and visitors to the high street centre as traffic is queuing up the high street waiting for the lights to change a bus filters across into the bus lane as traffic is released by the lights blocking right of way across or even worse caught in a blind spot and a vehicle manoeuvres across in front of the bus causing an accident.

2. The traffic lights

Are going to cause queues down Rawmarsh hill when on full cycle including pedestrian demands and excessive noise from stationary vehicles stopped at the lights especially at nights as the majority of traffic then is HGV'S due to no by-pass.

As well as additional air pollution.

3. **Forbidden u turn**

As a lot of people visiting the high street centre have never been there before this will mean that they will have to drive past all the way down Rawmarsh hill to the roundabout to turn round legally putting more traffic in Parkgate causing more pollution, as well as putting more strain on the dale road junction enabling residents on the northbound side to enter their properties now off Bellows road instead of been able to preform a U turn upon High street.

4. **Pedestrian crossing**

Is a good idea to replace the subway.

5. **The subway**

Is a good idea please do.

6. **Rockcliffe Road**

This scheme will put extra traffic along this narrow double parked road trying to avoid the traffic lights.

7. **Bellows Road**

There is a lack of a filter lane un controlled or under control when the pedestrian crossing southbound is in demand for traffic merging off of Bellows Road for if this scheme was to go ahead.

8. **ST Marries Church parking bays**

Is a good idea if there is the room.

9. **Haugh Road junction**

I feel that this from of traffic light system incorporated into the existing traffic lights upon Haugh Road and the top of High Street would benefit more from these improvements and traffic flow.

10. **Bus lane running closer to properties**

Possible impacts on people's health and wellbeing from buses running even closer to people properties (Noise, pollution, vibration as some properties are below ground level).

11. **High street centre entrance**

The High street Centre entrance is narrow and only allows one vehicle in or out at a time we currently have issues queuing down the high street to gain access and believe this would worsen and be more dangerous under this new scheme having to cross an additional lane to gain access.

12. **Better lining of the road**

As per the attached image I believe the junction of High street and Bellows road can be improved with some simple lane marking holding traffic back in the left filter lane allowing traffic to have priority off Bellows road to move across to the middle then to complete their manoeuvre onto the High street merging with traffic.

Duration of works and working hours

Please could you confirm?

I would appreciate it if there was no further actions upon these plans until all issues and avenues have been explored and addressed, I Know that I am not the only one expressing concerns against this scheme and would prefer it not to happen.

Please feel free to contact me about the matter on:

Regards

High Street

Rawmarsh Rotherham

S62 6lp

From: >

Sent: Thursday, July 8, 2021 10:14:03 PM (UTC+00:00) Monrovia, Reykjavik

To: Legal-TRO

Subject: Traffic Regulation Order consultation

For the attention of B. Nahal Head of Legal Services reference NF/66073 Objection to the proposed alteration of the A633.

As a resident of High Street, Rawmarsh firstly can I suggest it would have been a good idea to have produced accurate information on a current map on Appendix 2 drawing No.21505/TRO-01. Shown on the top of the West side of High Street are the garages on the site of the old Rawmarsh Baths which was demolished in 2001. Not shown is a drive to two bungalows which were built in 1983.

This is important to the objection as all the occupants of High Street are against the proposal of introducing full time bus lanes both North and South carriageways and the prohibiting of u turns at Bellows Road to the West side of the High Street.

There are nine homes with drives on the North side along with a large car park for the hub of the community the High Street centre which has several activities daily. The same car park also serves the Methodist Chapel which provides religious for the residents of Rawmarsh.

Crossing into household drives and the car park will be causing a perilous situation for car drivers. How will a bus driver know that a car crossing in front of him into the bus lane know that they are wanting to turn into their driveway. This will be a dangerous manoeuvre, surely Health and Safety come into this decision for the West side of High Street residents.

The proposal is hoping for the reduction of NOx but surely the alternative direction for vehicles not having the u turn facility to get to their homes is a worse scenario.

Traffic coming from Greasbro Lane and the old and new estates off Haugh Road have to stand normally in an already congested junction to turn left onto Blyth Avenue for approximately 200 yards before queuing again to turn right onto Dale Road up to the traffic light junction at Bellows Road to turn right again to get to their destinations.

How can this procedure be helping alleviate carbon emissions?

There is more vehicle traffic on High Street than buses so how is this helping a clean air zone Can we request a minuted meeting as soon as possible please for all residents to voice objections to the proposed scheme.

Yours sincerely,

High Street,
Rawmarsh,
Rotherham
S62 6LN

From: >

Sent: Saturday, July 10, 2021 12:50:25 PM (UTC+00:00) Monrovia, Reykjavik

To: Legal-TRO <Legal-TRO@rotherham.gov.uk>

Subject: Traffic changes to high street rawmarsh NF/66073 B Nahal head of leagl services

To B Nahal head of legal services

This email to confirm the objections we have to the proposed alterations to high street rawmarsh

No U Turning

this will cause issues for residents and business trying to access their property

we will have to go through an already busy road making right turn at the junction on dale road which already has issues with oncoming traffic then waiting in a queue to come across on to high street where i immediately have to turn n to my property crossing the bus lane have you considered the impact it will have on the high street community centre which is used a lot

i think there should still be a U Turn in place and can't see anything that would cause there not to be

Bus Lane Your survey was done in 2016 well out dated buses don't get held up on this road as the road has free running traffic no issues

I think a bus lane will cause more problems on this only stretch of road in rawmarsh has it has free running traffic it will have a major impact on residents living on street cause issues when pulling in and out of their drives

buses are used very little these days and would be beneficial if they used smaller buses

Traffic lights i agree that removing the subway and putting a pedestrian crossing there is a good idea

but having traffic lights on the main road will cause more pollution with already heavy traffic on rawmarsh hill parkgate and retail world standing traffic especially heavy goods and Buses

i also feel with our property being on the junction and close to the proposed traffic lights it will be more dangerous when we are accessing our property

more pollution is generated with standing traffic

buses and cars don't get held up at the bellows road junction

New laws stating electric buses by 2025 so why do this now for 3/4 years money could be better spent on the retail /parkgate roundabouts

i think that it would be a good idea for you to re survey the situation of traffic before doing the work to them find out it was a mistake like a lot of things that have been done in the past

covid has changed a lot of travel practices and needs

yours sincerely

High street rawmarsh

From:**Sent:** Sunday, July 11, 2021 8:35:22 AM (UTC+00:00) Monrovia, Reykjavik**To:** Legal-TRO <Legal-TRO@rotherham.gov.uk>**Subject:**

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

Legal-TRO@rotherham.gov.uk

Refence number NF/66073

Good Morning,

I am a resident of High Street Rawmarsh (my address is High Street)

I am emailing with regards to the proposed Traffic Regulation Order associated with the A633 High Street/Bellows Road – Clean Air Zone & Access improvement scheme, to make known my concerns, objection and opinions on said scheme.

Firstly, positive notes: -

- 1) The pelican crossing, I feel is an excellent proposal you will be aware the underpass is seldom used mainly because it smells and is most unpleasant and I am led to believe that homeless people sleep under there (which is sad)
- 2) I have no objection to the buses being rerouted.

Now to my concerns and objections

1. The bus lane that will run for at most a ¼ of mile up High St from Rotherham I feel is a complete waste of time **and valuable council funding**, buses run quite freely on this stretch of road, and I can see no reason why this would not continue. The bus lane I feel will also pose a danger to myself and visitors accessing my driveway which is concealed as it is now, I indicate, slow down, break and it is not until I begin to turn that traffic behind me realise what I am doing then they go into the outside lane to avoid bumping into me, the bus lane will mean there is no outside lane for them to go into, resulting in the back end of my car getting hit. I have been told that I will be allowed to drive in the bus lane because of needing access but unless there is clear signage explaining this, I feel I may receive a fine for driving in said bus lane and abuse from bus drivers!

The bus lane on A633 South will also cause problems for business and access on the south bound side of the rd.

2. The proposed traffic lights on the A633 north bound will result in standing traffic backing up, down onto Rawmarsh Hill causing more pollution to the air than the buses do, also standing traffic will result in more noise for residents of Sunny Side Cottage as the traffic begins to move off again, furthermore during bad weather (snow and ice traffic will be caused to stop on a hill?)
3. The proposal of no U-turn coming down A633 heading south at the junction of Bellows Road means residents of High Street and numerous visitors to The High Street Centre will have to travel along Dale rd., then Bellows rd. to gain access to A633 again to travel north. And for myself I don't U-turn I simply turn right into my driveway, likewise when travelling to Parkgate I cut across and turn right toward Rawmarsh Hill (south on A633) with the proposed new scheme I will have to turn left and travel north on A633 to U-turn at the bottom of Haugh Rd back onto High St. to travel south. It was intimated that the no U-turn would be looked at and possibly allowed however if this happen a filter lane will need to be added otherwise traffic turning right and U-turning will cause standing traffic northbound as all lights will turn green at the same time so traffic will have difficulty accessing A633 south. (the removal of the bus lane would mean that traffic travelling south could use the inside (left) lane and traffic U-turning and turning right in my case could use the outside (right) lane which would traffic congestion travelling south).

In conclusion I am not opposed to the buses being rerouted or the traffic lights although I cannot see how this scheme will reduce any emissions, I am strongly opposed to the bus lane and cannot see any benefit whatsoever (traffic currently passes the bus in the outside lane anyway) The bus lane will serve in making travelling and access driveway on the A633 North bound dangerous!!

If you have any questions about my concerns, please contact me on

or via email. I

look forward to hearing from you.

Regard

From: >

Sent: 10 July 2021 11:14

To:

Subject: Re: Proposed Traffic Regulations A633 High Street, Ref NF/66073

Importance: High

From Mr

10/7/21

Dear Mr Baker,

I wish to register my objections to the proposals for the following reasons:-

I own the property known as High Street, Rawmarsh.

My house is directly adjacent to where the proposed traffic lights are to be positioned on the hill. As the situation now stands, every time I require vehicular access onto my property I have to reverse on to my drive from the busy dual carriageway. You will appreciate that this is a very stressful and difficult manoeuvre when the passing traffic flow is heavy. In my opinion, accessing and exiting my driveway will be almost impossible once the proposed traffic lights and bus lane are in place.

Currently, any traffic heading up Green Lane to go in the direction of Swinton has the option of turning right onto Dale Road and continuing to the junction with the A633, or alternatively they can turn left off Green Lane and follow Bellows Road to turn right across the dual carriageway onto the A633. Traffic build up at both these junctions onto the A633 can be quite excessive at busy times, mainly due to right turning traffic being delayed by the volume of traffic on the A633. I expect your traffic planning officers will be aware of this problem and hopefully will have surveyed and monitored existing traffic flow. If your proposed traffic scheme goes ahead, I envisage that the new traffic lights positioned at the Bellows Road junction with the A633 will make this junction more popular with drivers as the lights will guarantee timed priority access onto the A633. Contrary to the opinion of your council representative who spoke to me about the scheme, I am convinced that the Bellows Road junction onto the A633 will become much busier throughout all times of the day, and consequently the traffic congestion outside my property resulting from the new traffic lights halting traffic on a regular basis will be an unacceptable nuisance to myself and my neighbours.

In my opinion, the added bus lane is quite unnecessary, especially since it only covers 200 yards. As stated previously the bus lane, plus traffic lights, will make access and egress on to my drive very difficult, if not at times impossible. The proposed bus route along Bellows Road then turns right onto Green Lane, which is a very bad junction with cars parked outside the houses and the shops. Further down Green Lane the bus route takes a right turn around a mini roundabout onto Barbers Avenue. This is another very bad junction with an extremely tight turn for buses and other large vehicles, especially when they are turning left on to Green Lane from Barbers Avenue. The result of implementing this scheme will only make traffic congestion far worse along the proposed bus route.

I am very concerned about a marked increase in vehicle noise and emissions pollution that will result from the imposition of this scheme right outside my property. The noise from cars, buses, lorries etc which will be stationary right outside my windows waiting for a change in the traffic lights, plus the added pollution from exhaust fumes which inevitably increases when vehicles have to start moving off after being halted by the traffic lights is very concerning. The pollution from HGV's, buses and cars will be made much worse since they will all be making a hill start.

As regards the inevitable increase in noise pollution, the volume of passing traffic noise is already quite noticeable in the front rooms of my property, and is especially noticeable and disturbing in the bedrooms at night. My windows already rattle when heavy vehicles thunder past on their way up Rawmarsh Hill. Will the council be factoring into their costings for this scheme the expense of providing triple glazing for residents whose property will be affected by the inevitable noise disturbance generated by your proposed road alterations?

Also, if this ridiculous scheme goes ahead I wish to enquire whether my property will be automatically eligible for a reduction in Council Tax, as the detriment in value to my house and living conditions will be considerable. I wish to enquire what considerations have been made for occasions such as waste bins being emptied, and deliveries of goods to the properties affected by this scheme? How will bin lorries and delivery vehicles be able to work around the new bus lane and traffic lights, especially regarding at my property which is situated exactly where the traffic lights will be positioned?

Therefore, for the reasons stated above I strongly object to the proposals being made by Rotherham Borough Council to alter the existing road layout at the junction with the A633 and Bellows Road.

I would appreciate a response to my objections from your environmental protection officers regarding a study into the potential for increased noise and emissions pollution in the vicinity of my property.

I would also appreciate your views on my comments about receiving a reduction in council tax should the scheme be put into operation, and also whether the council are prepared to pay for noise proofing my property, including the installation of triple glazing, as I consider that the imposition of these proposals will be of extreme detriment to the value of my property assets, as well as the health and wellbeing of its occupants.

Yours faithfully,

From:

Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 7:30:10 PM (UTC+00:00) Monrovia, Reykjavik

To: Legal-TRO <Legal-TRO@rotherham.gov.uk>

Subject: B.Nahal, ref no: NF /66073

Dear Sir

We wish to notify you of our objections to the proposed traffic plans for High Street Rawmarsh. The proposals, if implemented, would increase congestion and cause more, NOT less emissions as traffic would be stationary whilst buses are given priority. It is not a very busy bus route and a permanent bus lane is totally unnecessary.

Traffic would have to divert down Blyth Avenue and Dale Road and this would increase the emissions to properties on these roads as well as disruption and congestion on Rawmarsh Hill .

We agree that it is time to remove the subway as this is nothing but a filthy mess.

We hope that the council will reconsider their plans in view of the many, many objections.

From:

Sent: Thursday, July 15, 2021 8:52:08 AM (UTC+00:00) Monrovia, Reykjavik

To: Legal-TRO <Legal-TRO@rotherham.gov.uk>

Subject: NF/66073 RICHARD BAKER

Dear sir,

My name is I live at High Street S626LN.

I am writing to you to voice my objections to the proposed alterations to the A633 and surrounding project.

I strongly object to all proposals except the proposed alterations to the subway.

I see no benefit to the other alterations all this will cause is further difficulties to the residents impacted and a higher build up of congestion on the A633 (additional traffic lights)

A better way to be "green" would be to mount solar panels above the A633 from the junction at Rockcliffe Road to the turning at Haugh Road, this could power air scrubbers/filters that would actually remove harmful atmospheric while also powering most of the streetlights for Rawmarsh, the unit could be housed where the subway is located as you are already halfway there.

I have suggested similar projects and offered to build prototypes at cost to Rotherham Council in the past and never had a response from them.

I look forward to hearing from you

Kind regards.

From: Manager
Sent: Monday, July 12, 2021 1:35:04 PM (UTC+00:00) Monrovia, Reykjavik
To: Legal-TRO <Legal-TRO@rotherham.gov.uk>
Subject: NF/66073

FAO B Nahal

I am writing on behalf of The High Street Centre to object to the proposed Order set out in the letter dated 18/6/2021 with reference 126/21581.

We are pleased RMBC is looking at introducing measures to reduce traffic and emissions on Rawmarsh Hill and removing the current subway which isn't used as it is in a terrible condition and unpassable due to excrement.

However, prohibiting the 'U' turn on the A633 will have a major impact on members of the public's ability to access our building and the services it provides – I cannot see how people accessing the centre from the northbound A633 will be able to get to the building without travelling further down the A633 which will actually increase the traffic this scheme aims to reduce.

The High Street Centre is used by 1000 people each week providing a wide range of services and activities – a sample of which I have listed below:

The NHS runs two diabetic eye screening sessions for people across Rotherham and Barnsley throughout the week. It is essential people can easily access these essential appointments without confusion or delay.

Activate Rawmarsh is based at the High Street Centre and provides activities for children and young people throughout the week including toddler groups, Funzone for children with additional needs and school holiday activities – all of these activities make a positive impact on local people and vulnerable children – easy access to the centre is essential.

Stronger Together is based at the High Street Centre providing activities for local isolated people – it is essential that existing users and new beneficiaries can easily access the building – our fear with these proposals is that people will be able to see the building but not be able to get to it because of the traffic system – it would be good to know what the proposed route is for accessing the building from say Haugh Road – as a local person I cannot see an easy way without heading in the opposite direction and then through a built up area with a school, nursery and shops (more miles/pollution/risk) or down A633 (Rawmarsh Hill) so increasing traffic.

60 different organisations and community groups are based at the High Street Centre bringing together volunteers and beneficiaries of all ages from across the area including Tai Chi, Salsa, Functional Fitness, Line Dancing, lunch club, bowling, Rawmarsh History Group, Listening Group etc – providing exercise and socialising opportunities for local people. We need the road system to be able to encourage local use of buildings to improve the health of local people and encourage them to stay local and reduce travel rather than making it harder as the proposal does.

Rawmarsh Methodist Church have the freehold of the building and run all their services and activities from here as well as weddings, baptisms and funerals and have done since the building was built in 1908 – our building is a local landmark and treasured place in the lives of many people and needs to be easily accessible. In addition, the church is about to introduce a new Supporting Sorrow programme supporting local people affected by the pandemic – people in difficult times need to be able to get help and support – this scheme makes it harder.

The building is a polling station and I am concerned that this change will mean local people can no longer access the building to vote.

These are the type of activities that take place here, but I am worried that this scheme will make groups and activities less likely to use the building as the access in the future and it will be negatively affected. One of the main advantages of using The High Street Centre is easy access, location and parking – this will all be disadvantaged by the proposed scheme and could affect the sustainability of the organisation as it will be harder to access.

Historically we have had a positive relationship with the local authority – in fact we are running Health Holiday activities for local families thanks to a local authority grant and we have hosted many community consultation exercises – but these measures need more thought to make sure they do not have a negative impact on us, our neighbours and other local business. We hope you give this your care and attention.

If it would be helpful for me to provide any further information then please do not hesitate to contact me.

Best wishes



Centre Development Manager
High Street Centre Ltd
High Street
Rawmarsh
Rotherham
S62 6LN

www.hscrawmarsh.org

From:

Sent: Monday, July 12, 2021 2:17:49 PM (UTC+00:00) Monrovia, Reykjavik

To: Legal-TRO

Subject: High Street Rawmarsh ref NF/66073

)Dear B.Nahal

I write as a member of Rawmarsh Methodist Church, High Street Centre Trustee and also a hirer at the High Street Centre, on the proposed changes to A633 High Street, Rawmarsh.

Users of the above coming down from Haugh Rd will now have to turn left onto Blyth Avenue to the junction with Dale Rd, it is a very busy on this road as it is the main road through Rawmarsh, wait to cross into Dale Rd, travelling along Bellows Rd which is also a very busy road due to parked cars for the fish shop, doctor's surgery and the shopping centre, then onto the junction with High Street, and then wait to cross 3 lanes to enter the car park at the High Street Centre. It seems to me a longer journey and more waiting time, so how can this reduce emissions? On leaving Rawmarsh Methodist Church/ High Street Centre users from Parkgate and Rotherham usually turn left onto High Street u-turn at the end of the dual carriageway, then proceed down the south side to Parkgate and Rotherham. Under the new arrangements they would have to turn left onto the outer lane, (up to now they turn left onto the inner lane, as traffic usually moves over on to outer lane), then proceed on to Blyth Avenue to the junction at Dale Rd, along the road to the junction with High Street, same problems as stated before on these roads, then turn left towards Parkgate and Rotherham. Another longer journey and more waiting at junctions, surely this cannot mean fewer emissions?

Why change something that has worked for a lot of years?

Yours sincerely

Memorials Supplied

Tel. ROTHERHAM 522883 or 522660

Established 1784

Motor Hearse
and
Limousine Proprietors

J.H. Clark & Son

FUNERAL DIRECTORS

Pre Payment Plan

**46 HIGH STREET . RAWMARSH
ROTHERHAM S62 6NJ**



Private
Chapels of Rest

B. Nahal
Head of Legal Services

Ref: NF/66073

9th July 2021

Dear Sir or Madam,

I am objecting to the new proposals to the A643 & Bellows Road

My objections are as follows:

- 1 We are the local funeral directors situated on the High Street & have been since 1784 & object strongly to the proposal of no U turns on the High Street (A633) as we believe this would cause numerous traffic delays/holdups for our funeral cortege.
For us to access Haugh Road or Greasbrough Lane Cemetery we would have to turn left onto Bellows Road then Dale Road which is usually gridlocked for traffic trying to turn right onto Blyth Avenue.
- 2 We believe traffic lights on Bellows Road would cause huge hold ups on Rawmarsh Hill with standing traffic therefore causing more pollution rather than less.
- 3 If the proposed parking area on the Western side outside St Marys Church is full this will cause the funeral vehicles to park in the road causing more traffic problems & standing traffic.
- 4 There are already traffic delays from Parkgate Retail World up to Rawmarsh Hill, the traffic lights would enhance the problem considerably.

Our proposals would be:

- 1 Close the subway and a pedestrian crossing in its place.
- 2 Cleaner buses ie electric or cleaner diesel.

- 3 A speed camera to be installed on the Western side of the A633 going north.

I look forward to hearing from you

Yours faithfully



Graham Clark
Director
JH Clark & Son

Haugh Rd.

Rawmarsh

Nottingham S62 7DT

12.7.21.

Sir,

On reading the proposed planning for High St, Rawmarsh we wish to put forward the following points as objections.

1. We cannot see what the benefit of a Bus lane would be. It would hold traffic up whereas a Bus Bay would keep it flowing. There are several residents who need to access their properties and would have to cut across the Bus lane imagine the reaction of the Bus Driver!!
2. No U Turns would mean traffic from Greasborough and the Haugh Bats turning left at Blyth Ave Haugh Rd Junction, stopping at Dale Rd again on Bellow Rd causing unnecessary emissions which you are claiming to cut. This causes problem for access to High St Centre the Methodist Chapel which are very well attended places.

3. Due to traffic lights at Bellows Rd more traffic will be queuing up Rawmarsh Hill, bad enough as it is.
4. Regarding rerouting of buses it only means you are moving the problem from one place to another. However you could rethink the ^{NO} 9 Bus Manor Farm / Daylit Lane route. Instead of 8 + 8A both going down Dale Rd Rawmarsh Hill, no thought of Emission here. This was a big mistake residents not considered.
5. The expection of certain vehicles is all very well but certain motorists see 'U' turns taken and they will follow. Will there be a Camera?

Yours faithfully



Council webform repsonses - A633 High Street - CAZ 2021

reference	response - Personal Information - Name - answer	response - Personal Information - Surname - answer	response - Personal Information - Postcode - answer	response - Personal Information - Postcode - answer	response - Personal Information - Email - answer	response - Personal Information - Phone - answer	response - Personal Information - Address - answer	response - Personal Information - Address - answer	response - Personal Information - Address - answer
2549231	R	H	Wath-upon-dearne, Rotherham, S63 7AD	S63 7AD				support	Support
2549506	S	C	Rawmarsh, Rotherham, S62 7EX	S62 7ex				object	Object
2568988	S	M	Broad Street, Parkgate, Rotherham, S62 6DU	S62 6DU				support	Support